PSJ3 Exhibit 676

```
1
       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
        FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3
                EASTERN DIVISION
    IN RE: NATIONAL : MDL NO. 2804
5
    PRESCRIPTION OPIATE :
6
    LITIGATION
7
                       : CASE NO.
    THIS DOCUMENT : 1:17-MD-2804
    RELATES TO ALL CASES:
                        : Hon. Dan A.
9
                         : Polster
10
            Friday, December 14, 2018
11
12
    HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
             CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
13
14
                 Videotaped deposition of
    ELIZABETH GARCIA, taken pursuant to
15
    notice, was held at the law offices of
    Reed Smith LLP, Three Logan Square, 1717
16
    Arch Street, Suite 3100, Philadelphia,
17
    Pennsylvania 19103, beginning at 9:49
    a.m., on the above date, before Amanda
    Dee Maslynsky-Miller, a Certified
18
    Realtime Reporter.
19
20
21
2.2
23
            GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
        877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
24
                deps@golkow.com
```

```
1
2
    BY MR. CLUFF:
3
                  It's a multipage e-mail.
4
                  Go ahead and read the
5
    entirety of the document so you can feel
6
    familiar with it.
7
                  I don't remember this,
            Α.
    but --
8
9
                  But have you had a chance to
10
    look through it and kind of familiarize
11
    yourself with it?
12
                  A little bit, yes.
            Α.
13
                  Let's start at the top.
            0.
14
                  The last e-mail in this
15
    chain, which is the top e-mail on the
16
    first page, it's from Sharon Hartman to a
17
    number of ABC associates, it looks
18
    like -- sorry, I said ABC, I meant
19
    AmerisourceBergen.
20
                  You're included on the
21
    addressee list, correct?
22
            Α.
                  Yes.
23
                  And do you see the subject
            0.
24
    line says, Weekly OMP statistics?
```

- ¹ A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall, during your
- ³ time at AmerisourceBergen, that
- 4 AmerisourceBergen shared weekly OMP
- ⁵ statistics with Walgreens?
- A. Yes.
- ⁷ Q. What are OMP statistics?
- 8 A. Purchases and which
- 9 customers, which stores, might have hit
- 10 the OMP.
- Q. When you say "might have,"
- did you tell Walgreens, like, hey, this
- 13 store might have hit their threshold or
- that they actually hit?
- MS. MCCLURE: Objection to
- form.
- THE WITNESS: They hit OMP.
- 18 BY MR. CLUFF:
- 19 Q. So the weekly OMP
- statistics, as I understand it from what
- you just told me, was a report that
- 22 AmerisourceBergen gave to Walgreens about
- which stores hit or exceeded their OMP
- parameters, correct?

- A. Which orders hit OMP, yes.
- Q. Was there any other data
- included in those statistics that you
- 4 provided to Walgreens?
- 5 A. I don't recall.
- Q. I had you set aside -- no,
- ⁷ never mind.
- 8 So continuing with what we
- 9 marked as Exhibit-9, if you look at the
- very last page, there's an e-mail that
- starts from Lino Guerreiro. It goes to
- 12 Natasha Polster, Eric Stahmann, Patricia
- Daugherty, Christopher Dymon, Edward
- 14 Bratton, Nick Leners.
- Those are all in the "to"
- line; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Are those all Walgreens
- 19 employees?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So that seems to be accurate
- with your recollection about providing
- this data to Walgreens, correct?
- A. Correct.

```
1
                  So when you say they were
            0.
    "your counterparts," could you describe
2
    what that means?
                  They were investigators
            Α.
5
    also.
6
                  And what were they
            0.
7
    investigating?
8
                  They monitored their own
            Α.
9
    accounts.
10
                  Was there a special or
            Ο.
11
    unique relationship between the diversion
12
    control team and the WAG team?
13
                  MS. MCCLURE: Objection to
14
            form.
15
                  THE WITNESS: We were
16
            counterparts for our respective
17
            businesses.
```

- 18 BY MR. CLUFF:
- 19 Was there any communication
- between the diversion control team and 20
- 21 the WAG integrity team if a Walgreens'
- 22 order exceeded thresholds?
- 23 MS. MCCLURE: Objection to
- 24 form.

```
1
                  THE WITNESS: If we saw
2
           something unusual, we would call
           it to their attention.
3
    BY MR. CLUFF:
5
                  What happened after you
    called it to their attention?
6
7
                  MS. MCCLURE: Objection to
8
           form.
9
                  THE WITNESS: I don't know
           what they did.
10
11
    BY MR. CLUFF:
12
           Q. Would AmerisourceBergen ever
    take action on an order that exceeded
13
14
    threshold without talking to the WAG
15
    integrity team?
16
                  MS. MCCLURE: Objection to
17
           form.
18
                  THE WITNESS: Generally, no.
19
    BY MR. CLUFF:
20
                  I've been saying WAG
21
    integrity team.
22
                  I'm using the abbreviation,
23
    W-A-G, do you know that to mean
24
    Walgreens?
```

```
1
           Α.
                  Yes.
2
           0.
                  I'll clear that up in the
    future. I just wanted to be on the same
    page.
5
                  So you said that, generally,
    no, Amerisource didn't take action on
6
7
    orders that exceeded threshold without
    talking to Walgreens, right?
8
9
           Α.
                  Correct.
10
                  So what happened after, in
11
    your experience, AmerisourceBergen
12
    communicated to Walgreens about an order
13
    that exceeded threshold?
14
                  MS. MCCLURE: Objection to
15
            form.
16
                  THE WITNESS: I don't know
17
           what action they took on their
18
           end.
19
    BY MR. CLUFF:
20
                  Did you receive any
21
    communications back from the Walgreens
22
    integrity team at that point?
23
                  MS. MCCLURE: Objection to
24
            form.
```

```
1
                  THE WITNESS: Either at that
2
           point or in the future, once they
3
            resolved the issue.
    BY MR. CLUFF:
5
                  What do you mean by
    "resolved the issue"?
6
7
                  Whether that is a legitimate
           Α.
    need for their patients or not, that
8
9
    order.
10
                  And what would happen if
           0.
11
    Walgreens determined that an order was
12
    not for the legitimate needs of a
13
    patient --
14
                  MS. MCCLURE: Objection to
15
            form.
16
    BY MR. CLUFF:
17
           Q. -- at AmerisourceBergen;
18
    would the order be cancelled?
19
                  They would indicate, please
           Α.
20
    cancel.
21
                 At that point, would you
22
    cancel the order?
23
                  MS. MCCLURE: Objection to
24
            form.
```

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 BY MR. CLUFF: 3 And when I say "you," I mean AmerisourceBergen. 5 After you cancelled the 6 order, would you report that to the DEA? 7 MS. MCCLURE: Objection to 8 form. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. Unless 10 it was human error or something 11 like that. 12 BY MR. CLUFF: 13 Q. I want to look now again 14 back to Exhibit-9. 15 The second page, your e-mail 16 in the top part of the page is the one 17 dated November 21, 2014. 18 You say, I agree that action 19 needs to be taken on Walgreens -- which 20 is abbreviated, WAG's -- part to make 21 sure that -- ensure they do not order 22 large amounts over threshold. 23 Do you see that? 24 I see that. Α.